# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA NORTHERN DIVISION

Marie C. Fleming,	2009 MAR 30 P	1: } 1	
Plaintiff,		) ;	
V		)	Civil Action No.: 2:09 C V 2 U 5 Plaintiff Demands Jury Trial
CMRE Financial Services, Inc., a foreign )		•	
corporation, Fidelity Information Corporation,			
a foreign corporation, LVNV Funding, LLC,		)	
a foreign limited liability company, <b>Equifax</b> )		)	
Information Services, LLC, a foreign limited )		)	
liability company, Experian Information )		)	
Solutions, Inc., a foreign corp	oration, and	)	
Trans Union, LLC, a foreign limited liability )		)	
company,		)	
		)	·
Defendants.		)	

### **COMPLAINT**

**COMES NOW** Plaintiff, by and through the undersigned counsel, and would show unto this Honorable Court as follows:

### PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. This Complaint seeks actual, compensatory, statutory and / or punitive damages, including injunctive and declaratory relief, brought by an individual consumer (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff") against Defendants, jointly and severally, for violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et. seq. (hereinafter referred to as "the FDCPA"), the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681, et seq. (hereinafter referred to as "the FCRA"), the Alabama Deceptive Trade

Practices Act, Ala. Code 1975, § 8-19-1, et seq. (hereinafter referred to as "the ADTPA"), and state common law causes of action.

### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681p, 28 U.S.C. § 1331, and the doctrine of supplemental jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367. Declaratory relief is available pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2201 and 2202. Defendants' violations of Plaintiff's rights, as alleged herein, occurred in Montgomery County, Alabama, and were committed within the Northern Division of the Middle District of Alabama.

### **PARTIES**

- 3. Plaintiff Marie C. Fleming (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff") is a natural person and a resident and citizen of Montgomery County, the State of Alabama, and of the United States. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3) and Ala. Code 1975, § 8-19-3(2).
- 4. Defendant CMRE Financial Services, Inc. (hereinafter referred to as "CMRE" or "Defendant") is a foreign corporation engaged as "debt collectors" as defined by and within the meaning of the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6), and as a "person" defined under Ala. Code 1975 § 8-19-3(5), engaged in the business of collecting debts in this State where CMRE regularly collects or attempts to collect debts owed or due or asserted to be owed or due another and whose principal purpose is the collection of debts using the mails and telephone.
- 5. Defendant Fidelity Information Corporation (hereinafter referred to as "Fidelity" or

- "Defendant") is a foreign corporation engaged as "debt collectors" as defined by and within the meaning of the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6), and as a "person" defined under Ala. Code 1975 § 8-19-3(5), engaged in the business of collecting debts in this State where Fidelity regularly collects or attempts to collect debts owed or due or asserted to be owed or due another and whose principal purpose is the collection of debts using the mails and telephone.
- 6. Defendant LVNV Funding, LLC (hereinafter referred to as "LVNV" or "Defendant") is a foreign corporation engaged as "debt collectors" as defined by and within the meaning of the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6), and as a "person" defined under Ala. Code 1975 § 8-19-3(5), engaged in the business of collecting debts in this State where LVNV regularly collects or attempts to collect debts owed or due or asserted to be owed or due another and whose principal purpose is the collection of debts using the mails and telephone.
- 7. Defendant Equifax Information Services, LLC (hereinafter referred to as "Equifax" or "Defendant") is a foreign limited liability company licensed to do business within the state of Alabama. Equifax is a consumer reporting agency, as defined in section 1681a(f) of the FCRA, regularly engaged in the business of assembling, evaluating, and dispersing information concerning consumers for the purpose of furnishing consumer reports, as defined in section 1681a(d) of the FCRA, to third parties.
- 8. Defendant Experian Information Solutions, Inc. (hereinafter referred to as "Experian" or.

  "Defendant") is a foreign corporation licensed to do business within the state of Alabama.

  Experian is a consumer reporting agency, as defined in section 1681a(f) of the FCRA,

  regularly engaged in the business of assembling, evaluating, and dispersing information

- concerning consumers for the purpose of furnishing consumer reports, as defined in section 1681a(d) of the FCRA, to third parties.
- 9. Defendant Trans Union, LLC (hereinafter referred to as "Trans Union" or "Defendant") is a foreign limited liability company licensed to do business within the state of Alabama.

  Trans Union is a consumer reporting agency, as defined in section 1681a(f) of the FCRA, regularly engaged in the business of assembling, evaluating, and dispersing information concerning consumers for the purpose of furnishing consumer reports, as defined in section 1681a(d) of the FCRA, to third parties.

### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

### Defendants CMRE, Fidelity, and LVNV:

- 10. Plaintiff incorporates by reference herein paragraphs one (1) through nine (9).
- 11. Plaintiff filed for debt relief under Title 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code and was discharged on March 2, 2007.<sup>1</sup>
- 12. Notwithstanding the aforesaid, Defendants continue to report Plaintiff's accounts to one or more of the three national consumer reporting agencies (hereinafter referred to as "CRAs") as having current balances due and payable, rather than reflecting that such were discharged in bankruptcy. For example:
  - a. CMRE reported, and continues to report, to Trans Union that Plaintiff has an outstanding balance with, and remains personally liable to, CMRE for the amount of \$340.00. More specifically, CMRE verified the validity of this pre-petition debt within

<sup>1</sup> United States Bankruptcy Court for the Middle District of Alabama, Case No.: 03-32835.

the "Collections" section of the report in June, 2008 – in excess of one year after the discharge. CMRE was listed as a creditor within Schedule F – Creditors Holding Unsecured Nonpriority Claims of Plaintiff's voluntary bankruptcy petition as having a claim in the amount of \$144.00.

- b. Fidelity reported, and continues to report, to Trans Union that Plaintiff has an outstanding balance with, and remains personally liable to, Fidelity for the amount of \$147.00. More specifically, Fidelity reports this pre-petition debt as having been "[p]laced for collection."
- c. LVNV reported, and continues to report, to Equifax, Experian and Trans Union that Plaintiff has an outstanding balance with, and remains personally liable to, LVNV for the amount of \$444.00. More specifically, LVNV verified this pre-petition debt within the "Collections" sections of all three (3) CRAs as having been placed for collection in January, 2009 in excess of two (2) years after the discharge.
- 13. CMRE obtained knowledge of Plaintiff's discharge on at least two separate occasions, i.e. receipt of the BNC Certificate of Service Meeting of Creditors and the BNC Certificate of Service Order of Discharge, as the result of its inclusion within Plaintiff's bankruptcy petition.
- 14. Defendants have intentionally, willfully and maliciously failed to implement reasonable procedures to insure that Plaintiff's accounts are reported as having "0" balances as contemplated by the Fair Credit Reporting Act. The FTC's Official Staff Commentary § 607 item 6 states that "a consumer report may include an account that was discharged in bankruptcy (as well as the bankruptcy itself), as long as it reports a zero balance due to

- reflect the fact that the consumer is no longer liable for the discharged debt."

  Notwithstanding that the Federal Trade Commission's Staff Commentary lacks substantive precedential esteem it is persuasive in that it provides an explanation of the phrase "reasonable procedures."
- 15. Notwithstanding that Defendants properly update numerous accounts each month they have intentionally, maliciously and willfully refused to do so with Plaintiff, and other similarly situated consumers. More specifically, Defendants have a policy to refuse to properly update credit files of consumers who have obtained debt relief through the U.S. Bankruptcy Code.
- 16. As stated by the Honorable Judge William R. Sawyer of the United States Bankruptcy

  Court for the Middle District of Alabama, "[t]he sheer number of ... cases [filed for a

  creditor's failure and/or refusal to update a discharged debtor's credit reports] may

  suggest that some creditors are systematically taking such action in an effort to diminish
  the value of a discharge in bankruptcy."<sup>2</sup>
- 17. Defendants have promised, through their subscriber agreements or contracts with the CRAs to update accounts that have been discharged in bankruptcy but have willfully,

<sup>2</sup> In re Norman, 2006 WL 2818814 (Bankr.M.D.Ala. September 29, 2006) (citing Irby v. Fashion Bug (In re Irby), 337 B.R. 293 (Bankr.N.D.Ohio 2005) (reporting discharged debts as past due on credit report); Helmes v. Wachovia Bank, N.A. (In re Helmes), 336 B.R. 105 (Bankr.E.D.Va.2005) (reporting the discharged debt as "over 120 days past due" on credit report); Smith v. Am. Gen. Fin. Inc. (In re Smith), 2005 WL 3447645 (Bankr.N.D.Iowa Dec. 12, 2005) (reporting discharged debts as "account charged off/past due 180 days," "charged offas bad debt," and "charged-off" on credit report); In re Miller, 2003 WL 25273851 (Bankr.D.Idaho Aug. 15, 2003) (listing discharged debts as still due on the consumer's credit report); Vogt v. Dynamic Recovery Servs. (In re Vogt), 257 B.R. 65 (Bankr.D.Colo.2000) (reporting discharged credit card debts as still owed on credit report); Carriere v. Proponent Fed. Credit Union, 2004 WL 1638250 (W.D.La. July 12, 2004) (reporting discharged debts as "charged off" and "past due 180 days" on credit report)).

maliciously, recklessly, wantonly, and/or negligently failed to follow this requirement as well as the requirements set forth under the FCRA, FDCPA, ADTPA, federal law and state law which has resulted in this information remaining on Plaintiff's credit reports.

- 18. Defendants have a policy to "park" their accounts on at least one of Plaintiff's credit reports. This industry-specific term refers to keeping a false balance on the credit report so that the consumer will be forced to pay off the balance in order to obtain refinancing, qualify for a loan, or increase the consumer's credit score from the artificially lowered score which directly resulted from the Defendant's intentional and malicious conduct.
- 19. Defendants have agreed to and understand they must follow the requirements of the FCRA including, but not limited to, the following:
  - a) 15 U.S.C. § 1681(a)(1)(A) which states, "[a] person shall not furnish any information relating to a consumer to any consumer reporting agency if the person knows or has reasonable cause to believe that the information is inaccurate."
  - b) 15 U.S.C. § 1681(a)(1)(B) which states, "[a] person shall not furnish information relating to a consumer to any consumer reporting agency if-
    - (i) the person has been notified by the consumer, at the address specified by the person for such notices, that specific information is inaccurate; and
    - (ii) the information is, in fact, inaccurate."
  - c) 15 U.S.C. § 1681(a)(2) which states, "[a] person who -
    - (A) regularly and in the ordinary course of business furnishes information to

<sup>3</sup> As indicated herein, Defendants have either affirmed the validity of, or attempted to collect upon, these debts by reporting the same to the CRAs subsequent to Plaintiff's discharge.

- one or more consumer reporting agencies about the person's transactions or experiences with any consumer; and
- (B) has furnished to a consumer reporting agency information that the person determines is not complete or accurate, shall promptly notify the consumer reporting agency of that determination and provide to the agency any corrections to that information, or any additional information, that is necessary to make the information provided by the person to the agency complete and accurate, and shall not thereafter furnish to the agency any of the information that remains not complete or accurate." (emphasis supplied).
- 20. Defendants know that "parking" balances, to intentionally and maliciously coerce consumers into paying discharged debts, will lead to the publication of false and defamatory information every time Plaintiff's credit reports are accessed. Because Plaintiff's credit reports have been accessed since the discharge, such false information has been published to third parties.
- 21. All actions taken by employees, agents, servants, or representatives of any type for Defendants were taken in the line and scope of such individuals' (or entities') employment, agency, or representation.
- 22. Defendants' actions engaging in a pattern and practice of wrongful and unlawful behavior, i.e. attempting to collect upon discharged debts were malicious, wanton, reckless, intentional or willful, and performed with the desire to harm Plaintiff, with the knowledge that their actions would very likely harm Plaintiff, and that their actions were

- taken in violation of the law.
- 23. The actions, omissions, misrepresentations, and violations of the FCRA, FDCPA,
  ADTPA, federal law, and state law of Defendants, regarding Plaintiff's discharged debts,
  as described herein, constitute harassment which has resulted in the negligent and
  intentional infliction of mental and emotional distress upon Plaintiff, proximately causing
  Plaintiff to suffer severe mental distress, mental and physical pain, embarrassment, and
  humiliation of which Plaintiff will in the future continue to suffer.

### **Defendants Equifax, Experian and Trans Union:**

- 24. Defendants have failed to implement and/or follow "reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy" of the information they publish, and continue to publish, in consumer credit reports in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1681(e)(b).
- 25. Indisputably, based upon the sheer volume of factually identical actions, Defendants should reasonably be aware of the inadequacy of their procedures and implement policies to improve the accuracy of the information they publish. The FTC's Official Staff Commentary § 603 item B states that "[a] consumer reporting agency must also adopt reasonable procedures to eliminate systematic errors that it knows about, or should reasonably be aware of, resulting from procedures followed by its sources of information."
- 26. Defendants' intentional, reckless, and willful violations of the FCRA, federal law and state law has resulted in the negligent and intentional infliction of mental and emotional distress upon Plaintiff proximately causing Plaintiff to suffer severe mental distress, mental and physical pain, embarrassment, and humiliation which Plaintiff will in the

future continue to suffer.

## COUNT ONE DEFENDANTS CMRE, EQUIFAX, EXPERIAN AND TRANS UNION VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT - 15 U.S.C. § 1681, et seq.

- 27. Plaintiff incorporates by reference herein paragraphs one (1) through twenty-six (26).
- 28. In the entire course of their actions, Defendants willfully and/or negligently violated multiple provisions of the FCRA in one or more of the following respects:
  - a) By willfully and/or negligently failing, in the preparation of the consumer reports concerning Plaintiff, to follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy of the information in the reports as required by 15 U.S.C. § 1681(e)(b);
  - b) By willfully and/or negligently failing to comport with reinvestigation procedures listed within 15 U.S.C. § 1681i;
  - c) By willfully and/or negligently failing to fulfill their duties as listed within section 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2, *i.e.* reporting information with actual knowledge of errors, reporting information after notice and confirmation of errors, failing to update and/or correct previously reported information determined to be inaccurate or incomplete, failing to provide notice of dispute, and failing to provide notice of closed account;
  - c) Defaming Plaintiff by publishing to third parties false information regarding Plaintiff's creditworthiness;
  - d) Invading the privacy of Plaintiff; and

- e) Failing in their duty to prevent foreseeable injury to Plaintiff.
- 29. The foregoing acts and omissions were undertaken by Defendants willfully, intentionally, and knowingly as part of their routine credit reporting and/or credit furnishing business, and in gross reckless disregard of the rights of Plaintiff.
- 30. As a result of the above violations of the FCRA, Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for a declaratory judgment that Defendants' conduct violated the FCRA, and Plaintiff's actual, compensatory, and statutory damages, if applicable punitive damages, and costs and attorney's fees under the FCRA.

# COUNT TWO DEFENDANTS CMRE, FIDELITY, AND LVNV VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq.

- 31. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference paragraphs one (1) through thirty (30).
- 32. Defendants attempted to collect a consumer debt allegedly owed by Plaintiff and the obligation required Plaintiff to pay money arising out of transactions for personal, family, and household purposes. More specifically, Defendants violated the FDCPA by taking one or more of the following actions against Plaintiff:
  - a) Attempting to collect a debt by reporting and/or allegedly verifying a balance within the "Collections Account" section of at least one of Plaintiff's credit reports when there is no legal right to collect the discharged debt;
  - b) Taking illegal actions against Plaintiff;
  - c) Refusing to properly update Plaintiff's accounts;
  - d) Failing to show the accounts as being "disputed" by Plaintiff; and

- e) Reporting the invalid debt on Plaintiff's credit reports.
- 33. The foregoing acts and omissions were undertaken by Defendants willfully, intentionally, and knowingly as part of their routine debt collection business and/or in gross reckless disregard for Plaintiff's rights.
- As a result of the above violations of the FDCPA, Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for a declaratory judgment that their conduct violated the FDCPA, and Plaintiff's actual damages, statutory damages, and costs and attorney's fees under 15 U.S.C. 1692k, civil liability [Section 813 of Pub. Law].

# COUNT THREE ALL DEFENDANTS VIOLATIONS OF THE ALABAMA DECEPTIVE TRADE PRACTICES ACT ALA. CODE 1975 § 8-19-3, et seq.

- 35. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference paragraphs one (1) through thirty-four (34).
- 36. The conduct described herein has caused actual confusion or misunderstanding as to the source, sponsorship, approval, or certification of services within the scope of the Alabama Deceptive Trade Practices Act, Ala. Code § 8-19-3.
- 37. Such conduct is generally and specifically within the meaning of the Alabama Deceptive Trade Practices Act, Ala. Code § 8-19-3, *et seq.*, and in the course of business that is prohibited, unfair, and deceptive.
- 38. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendants were undertaken by them willfully, intentionally, and knowingly as part of their routine debt collection business, and Plaintiff relied upon such representations as being lawful, yet such conduct is prohibited.
- 39. The conduct described herein has tremendous potential to be repeated where other

- consumers similarly situated will be treated with the same unscrupulous, unethical, unfair and deceptive acts and practices.
- 40. Defendants' unfair and deceptive acts have proximately caused emotional and actual damages, and Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for such injury.

## COUNT FOUR DEFENDANTS CMRE, FIDELITY, AND LVNV NEGLIGENT, RECKLESS AND WANTON CONDUCT

- 41. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference paragraphs one (1) through forty (40).
- 42. Defendants' acts, as described herein, were done so negligently and without care or concern for the well-being of Plaintiff.
- 43. As a proximate consequence of Defendants' negligence, Plaintiff has been caused to suffer severe emotional and mental distress, and Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for actual, compensatory, and punitive damages, costs and attorney's fees, and any other and further relief deemed appropriate by this Court.

## COUNT FIVE HARASSMENT DEFENDANTS CMRE, FIDELITY, AND LVNV

- 44. Plaintiff incorporates by reference herein paragraphs one (1) through forty-three (43).
- 45. Defendants' acts, as described herein, were done so intentionally, maliciously, and willfully, and without care or concern for Plaintiff's well being. Defendant's harassing collection tactics, and/or refusal to assure the accuracy of the information published regarding Plaintiff, created a hostile environment for Plaintiff.
- 46. As a proximate consequence of Defendants' harassment, Plaintiff has been caused to suffer severe emotional and mental distress, and Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for

actual, compensatory, and punitive damages, costs and attorney's fees, and any other and further relief deemed appropriate by this Court.

## COUNT SIX INVASION OF PRIVACY ALL DEFENDANTS

- 47. Plaintiff incorporates by reference herein paragraphs one (1) through forty-six (46).
- 48. Defendants' conduct, as described herein, constitutes an invasion of Plaintiff's privacy in that it intrudes into Plaintiff's private life, publishes private facts regarding Plaintiff, and places Plaintiff in a false light in the eyes of those to whom the publications are made.
- 49. Defendants' actions were done so maliciously, without privilege, and with a willful intent to injury Plaintiff.
- 50. As a proximate consequence of Defendants' invasion of Plaintiff's privacy, Plaintiff has been caused to suffer severe emotional and mental distress, and Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for actual, compensatory, and punitive damages, costs and attorney's fees, and any other and further relief deemed appropriate by this Court.

## COUNT SEVEN DEFAMATION ALL DEFENDANTS

- 51. Plaintiff incorporates by reference herein paragraphs one (1) through fifty (50).
- 52. Defendants published false information about Plaintiff by reporting to one or more of the CRAs, or other third parties, either a false account or balance.
- 53. Likewise, Defendants published false information about Plaintiff each time Plaintiff's credit reports were accessed which was the result intended by Defendants.
- 54. The publications and defamations were done so maliciously, without privilege, and with a

- willful intent to injure Plaintiff.
- As a proximate consequence of Defendants' false reporting or publishing, Plaintiff has been caused to suffer severe emotional and mental distress, and Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for actual, compensatory, and punitive damages, costs and attorney's fees, and any other and further relief deemed appropriate by this Court.

## COUNT EIGHT INTENTIONAL MISREPRESENTATION DEFENANTS CMRE, FIDELITY AND LVNV

- 56. Plaintiff incorporates by reference herein paragraphs one (1) through fifty-five (55).
- 57. Defendants intentionally, maliciously, recklessly and/or negligently misrepresented material facts in that they falsely represented to others that Plaintiff owes money to that Defendant.
- Defendants intend that those who review the credit reports of Plaintiff will rely upon the misrepresentations and suppressions of material fact related to the balance owed and the lack of indication that the account was discharged in bankruptcy.
- 59. Defendant intended that the justifiable and reasonable reliance by others would adversely affect Plaintiff.
- 60. As a proximate consequence of Defendants' intentional misrepresentation, Plaintiff has been caused to suffer severe emotional and mental distress, and Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for actual, compensatory, and punitive damages, costs and attorney's fees, and any other and further relief deemed appropriate by this Court.

## COUNT NINE INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS DEFENDANTS CMRE, FIDELITY, AND LVNV

- 61. Plaintiff incorporates by reference herein paragraphs one (1) through sixty (60).
- 62. Defendants' conduct, as described herein, was reckless and / or intentional, and performed with disregard for the rights of Plaintiff.
- As a proximate consequence of Defendants' extreme and outrageous conduct, Plaintiff has been caused to suffer severe emotional, mental and physical distress, and Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for actual, compensatory, and punitive damages, costs and attorney's fees, and any other and further relief deemed appropriate by this Court.

### COUNT TEN DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF ALL DEFENDANTS

- 64. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference paragraphs one (1) through sixty-three (63).
- 65. A dispute exists as to whether Defendants have violated the FDCPA, FCRA, ADTPA, federal law or state law.
- Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief, a declaratory judgment, and a determination that Defendants violated the FDCPA, FCRA, ADTPA, federal law, and state law, and Plaintiff is similarly entitled to an order enjoining said acts.
- As a result of Defendants' actions, omissions and violations, Plaintiff is entitled to actual, compensatory, statutory and/or punitive damages, reasonable attorney's fees, and all costs for time lost at work and litigating this matter.
- 68. Defendants' actions, omissions, and violations, as alleged herein, constitute the negligent and intentional infliction of mental and emotional distress upon Plaintiff, proximately

causing Plaintiff to suffer great mental distress, mental and physical pain, embarrassment, humiliation, and will in the future to continue to suffer the same.

### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, THE ABOVE PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff respectfully prays that judgment be entered against each and every Defendant, jointly and severally, by this Court for the following:

- a) Enter injunctive and corresponding declaratory relief establishing the foregoing conduct of Defendants to be unlawful, enjoining Defendants from continuing to engage in such conduct, and granting such additional equitable relief as may be appropriate;
- b) Award Plaintiff actual damages;
- c) Award Plaintiff punitive damages;
- d) Award Plaintiff statutory damages where applicable;
- e) Award Plaintiff compensatory damages for mental and emotional distress, humiliation and embarrassment;
- f) Award Plaintiff reasonable attorney's fees and costs of this litigation; and
- g) Grant such other and further relief as this Honorable Court deems just and proper.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this the 27th day of March, 2009.

Anthony B. Bush (BUS028)

Attorney for Plaintiff

The Law Office of Jay Lewis, LLC

847 South McDonough Street, Suite 100

Montgomery, Alabama 36104

Phone:

(334) 263-7733

Facsimile: (334) 832-4390 Bar Id. #: ASB-7306-A54B anthonybbush@yahoo.com

### THE DEFENDANTS MAY BE SERVED AT THE FOLLOWING ADDRESSES:

CMRE FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC. 3075 E. Imperial Hwy #2 Brea, California 92821

FIDELITY INFORMATION CORPORATION c/o CSC Lawyers Incorporating Service, Inc. 57 Adams Avenue
Montgomery, Alabama 36104-4045

LVNV FUNDING, LLC c/o The Corporation Company 2000 Interstate Park Drive, Suite 204 Montgomery, Alabama 36109

EQUIFAX INFORMATION SERVICES, LLC c/o CSC Lawyers Incorporating Service, Inc. 150 S. Perry Street
Montgomery, Alabama 36104

EXPERIAN INFORMATION SOLUTIONS, INC. c/o The Corporation Company 2000 Interstate Park Drive, Suite 204 Montgomery, Alabama 36109

TRANS UNION, LLC c/o Prentice-Hall Corporation System, Inc. 150 S. Perry Street Montgomery, Alabama 36104